## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

·	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
J	v. lose Luis Chavez-Roldan Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00221-RJJ
		rm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Find	dings of Fact
	federal offense a state or local offense that	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 hich the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
ar	n offense for which the maximum sentence is de	eath or life imprisonment.
ar	n offense for which a maximum prison term of te	en years or more is prescribed in:
	felony committed after the defendant had been .S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state of	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
ar	ny felony that is not a crime of violence but invo	lves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	r destructive device or any other dangerous weapon § 2250
(2) The offe	• ,	ile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	d of less than 5 years has elapsed since the described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	s (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumpor the community. I further find that defendant l	otion that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative	Findings (A)
(1) There is	probable cause to believe that the defendant h	nas committed an offense
<u>C</u>	r which a maximum prison term of ten years or ontrolled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et sec	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	endant has not rebutted the presumption estable conably assure the defendant's appearance and	lished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition the safety of the community.
/ (4) There is		Findings (B)
. ,	s a serious risk that the defendant will not appear	
(2) There is	_	the safety of another person or the community.
l fin al the at i		e Reasons for Detention
evidence a p 1. Defendant wai 2. Defendant is s	preponderance of the evidence that: lived his detention hearing, electing not to conte subject to an ICE detainer and would not be rele	
o. Delendant ma	y sing the issue of this continuing determion to	and doubt a attention should his offernotations offange.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	December 15, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	